## SUPREME COURT MINUTES MONDAY, NOVEMBER 1, 1999 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S071521 The People, Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

Charles Anthony Falsetta, Defendant and Appellant. We affirm the judgment of the Court of Appeal.

Chin, J.

We Concur:

George, C.J.

Kennard, J.

Baxter, J.

Werdegar, J.

Concuring Opinion by Mosk, J.

Concurring Opinion by Brown, J.

S073888 Ellen Miller, Petitioner,

v.

The Superior Court of San Joaquin County, Respondent;

The People, Real Party in Interest

[T]he judgment of the Court of Appeal is reversed and the cause remanded to that court with directions to cause issuance of a peremptory writ of prohibition as prayed.

Mosk, J.

We Concur:

George, C.J.

Kennard, J.

Baxter, J.

Chin, J.

Concurring Opinion by Brown, J.

I Concur:

Werdegar, J.

S020803 People, Respondent

v.

Richard Bert Stewart, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's reply brief is extended to and including January 7, 2000.

S078962 Zubair M. Kazi et al., Appellants

v.

State Farm & Casualty Company et al., Respondents

Gary S. Smolker, Appellant

On application of respondents and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondents' reply brief on the merits is extended to and including November 29, 1999.

Bar Misc. 4186 In the Matter of the Application of the Committee of Bar Examiners of the State of California for Admission of Attorneys

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED TO ORIGINAL ORDER)

S081555 In the Matter of the Suspension of Attorneys For Nonpayment of Dues

Due to clerical error on the part of the State Bar of California, and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the order of suspension for nonpayment of dues filed on September 10, 1999, effective September 27, 1999, be amended *nunc pro tunc* to strike the names of Harold Borg, Claudette Pamela LeFevre, John David Randolph

S081525 In re **Johnny Eleuterio Mosqueda** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Johnny Eleuterio Mosqueda**, State Bar No. 162225, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation, including 90 days actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving

Stipulation filed July 9, 1999, as modified by its order filed July 22, 1999. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his actual suspension or within one year after the effective date of this order, whichever is longer. (See *Segretti* v. *State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Bus. & Prof. Code section 6140.7.

\*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

## S081566 In re **Sarajane Crosby** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Sarajane Crosby**, State Bar No. 65552, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that she be placed on probation for three years subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed July 6, 1999. It is further ordered that she take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti* v. *State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar and shall be added to and become part of the membership fee for the next calendar year. (Bus. & Prof. Code section 6140.7.)

## S083113 In the Matter of the Resignation of **Dean Russell Hyatt**A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of **Dean Russell Hyatt,** #53797 as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against him should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S083114 In the Matter of the Resignation of **Alysa Beth Rosen**A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of **Alysa Beth Rosen**, #146010 as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against her should she hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that she comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that she perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S083115 In the Matter of the Resignation of **Terry L. Kelly** A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of **Terry L. Kelly**, **#14066** as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against her should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that she comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)